Please use this form to provide comments on the Notice of Proposed Amendments for the MUTCD.

**INSTRUCTIONS:**

1. Add your name or organization name where indicted in the footer of this form.
2. Use Table 1 to provide your original comments.
3. Use Table 2 to indicate your agreement with a comment that another commenter has submitted to the docket.
4. Do not adjust formatting of the rows and columns; text will automatically wrap and expand the row height as you type.
5. To add rows to this form, use the “Insert Rows” function, or hover just outside the left edge of the row below which you would like to add a row and click the encircled “+” that appears.
6. If you choose to provide a letter to accompany this comment form, please **print the document as a PDF**; **please do not scan a hard copy**. This will assist FHWA with cataloging your comments.

**TABLE 1. ORIGINAL COMMENTS ON PROPOSED CHANGES.** Please indicate the applicable proposed Section numbers in the far-left column. In the next three columns, please indicate your agreement, disagreement, or whether the column is applicable to your response by placing a, “YES,” “NO,” or “N/A” in the appropriate column of the row. If you agree with a proposed change, then there is no need to fill out the additional columns beyond the first two. However, it can be helpful to explain why you agree with a proposed change based on your objective experience as a roadway operator and/or empirical data. If you disagree in part or in whole, then please provide additional information that FHWA may find helpful.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Proposed  Section Number(s) | Agree with concept and text as proposed | Agree with concept; suggested rewording of text in Comments | Disagree with concept | Comments  *Please include justification for your position based on objective experience and empirical data. If there is a specific statement with which you take exception, please provide the Page and Line numbers from the mark-up version of the proposed MUTCD text.* |
| All |  |  |  | See other file submitted to docket which shows detailed recommended changes to the draft MUTCD text, figures, and tables. |
| 6G.01 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with 6G.01 as presented in the NPA. |
| 6G.02 | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD generally agrees with 6G.02 as presented in the NPA, but recommends revising the title of the SHS in first Standard to ‘”Standard Highway Signs” publication’. |
| Table 6G-1 | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD generally agrees with Table 6G-1 as presented in the NPA, but recommends revising as follows:   * Edit the title to ‘Regulatory Signs Used in TTC Zones’ * Edit the table for the correct R4-9a designation for the Stay In Lane to Merge Point sign |
| Figure 6G-1 | NO | YES | N/A | Figure 6G-1 Comments: NCUTCD generally agrees with Figure 6G-1 as presented in the NPA, but recommends revising as follows:   * Edit the figure for the correct R4-9a designation for the Stay In Lane to Merge Point sign * Revise the table to include signs referenced in the individual sections in Chapter 6G (see table below)  |  |  | | --- | --- | | Section | Signs which are referenced in the individual Chapter 6G  sections to Figure 6G-1 that are not shown in the figure | | 6G.04 | R11-2 | | 6G.05 | R11-3a | | 6G.06 | R12-1, R12-2, R12-5 | | 6G.07 | G20-5aP, R2-12, R2-10, R2-11 | | 6G.08 | R2-6P, R2-6aP, R2-6bP | | 6G.09 | R9-8 | | 6G.10 | R9-9, R9-10, R9-11, R9-11a | |
| 6G.03 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with 6G.03 as presented in the NPA. |
| 6G.04 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with 6G.04 as presented in the NPA. |
| 6G.05 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with 6G.05 as presented in the NPA. |
| 6G.06 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with 6G.06 as presented in the NPA. |
| 6G.07 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with 6G.07 as presented in the NPA. |
| 6G.08 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with 6G.08 as presented in the NPA. |
| 6G.09 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with 6G.09 as presented in the NPA. |
| 6G.10 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with 6G.10 as presented in the NPA. |
| 6G.11 | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD generally agrees with 6G.11 as presented in the NPA, but recommends revising the sign code from RXX-X to R22-2. |
| 6G.12 | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD generally agrees with 6G.12, but recommends revising the title of the SHS in the Option to ‘”Standard Highway Signs” publication’. |

**TABLE 2. AGREE WITH ANOTHER COMMENTER.** If you agree with another commenter, please indicate the commenter with whom you agree with and note any additional information FHWA may find helpful or any exceptions.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Docket Comment Number and/or Commenter Name | Agree with commenter’s comments as written | Agree with commenter; with exception(s) | Additional information helpful to FHWA, or exceptions to commenter’s comments |
| (**EXAMPLE**)  FHWA-2020-0001-59 | YES | N/A |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |